

A National Survey of Potential Wetland Hydrology Regional Indicators

by Chris V. Noble, Daniel J. Martel, and James S. Wakeley

PURPOSE: This technical note summarizes the results of a national survey of potential wetland hydrology indicators by personnel representing all Army Corps of Engineers District Regulatory Offices from across the country.

BACKGROUND: The Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual (Environmental Laboratory 1987), hereafter called the Corps Manual, presents a short list of indicators of wetland hydrology that is used as part of a three-parameter test for wetland determination. The purpose of hydrology indicators is to provide evidence that the site has a current wetland hydrologic regime. The list includes: recorded gauge data and historical records, visual observation of inundation, visual observation of soil saturation, watermarks, drift lines, sediment deposits, and drainage patterns. The Corps Manual states that "Indicators of wetland hydrology may include, but are not necessarily limited to" the list above. It goes on to describe the indicators and discuss some of the problems associated with each one. While the Corps Manual clearly states that the listed indicators are simply examples, the list has sometimes been interpreted as the only indicators of wetland hydrology that can be used for wetland determinations and delineations. In a memo dated 6 March 1992, four new indicators were added to the official field data form for routine wetland determinations: oxidized rhizospheres, water-stained leaves, local soil survey data, and the FAC-neutral test (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) 1992). The addition of indicators in 1992 provides precedent for the further refinement and possible expansion of the list of hydrology indicators.

In 2003 a project was initiated under the Wetland Regulatory Assistance Program (WRAP) to update and revise lists of wetland hydrology indicators for each region of the country. The project is part of a larger, multi-year effort addressing the recommendations of the National Academy of Sciences (National Research Council 1995) to increase the accuracy and regional sensitivity of the Corps Manual. A working group from the U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC), with the help of Dan Martel of the USACE San Francisco District, developed what they hoped was a comprehensive list of potential hydrology indicators that could be identified readily in the field by trained and experienced Regulatory personnel. The list was then developed into a survey that could be distributed by email to points of contact (POC) in each District that were designated by Regulatory Chiefs. POCs were asked to rate each potential indicator for applicability in that District or region.

METHODS: The survey included all of the indicators currently listed in the Corps Manual and the 1992 field data form, as well as 53 possible additions. The list was sorted and indicators were grouped under the following categories:

- 1. Indicators based on direct observation of water and/or reduced soil conditions during a site visit.
- 2. Indicators based on indirect evidence of recent inundation (ponding or flooding).

Approved for Public Release
Distribution Unlimited

20050627 091

ERDC TN-WRAP-05-1 January 2005

- 3. Indicators based on indirect evidence of recent soil saturation.
- 4. Indicators based on inferences from other site conditions or data.

The survey was developed in a spreadsheet format that asked the District POC to evaluate each potential hydrology indicator for its application to the following wetland types in the POC's District or region:

- 1. All wetlands.
- 2. Depressional wetlands.
- 3. Seep/slope wetlands.
- 4. Riverine/floodplain wetlands.
- 5. Fringe wetlands.
- 6. Other regional wetland types.

Participants were asked to rate each indicator in each wetland type using the following scale:

- 1 = More than 99% of observations of this indicator are in wetlands.
- 2 = Between 67% and 99% of observations are in wetlands.
- 3 = Between 34% and 66% of observations are in wetlands.
- 4 = Between 1% and 33% of observations are in wetlands.
- 5 = Less than 1% of observations are in wetlands.
- NI = The indicator is applicable in this region, but it is not possible to give it a rating.
- NA = The indicator is not applicable in this region.

Respondents were also asked whether they recommended the indicator for use in the region, or they had no basis to judge the use of the indicator in the region.

RESULTS: Responses were received from all 38 District Regulatory offices. As requested, some large Districts submitted multiple surveys if there were recognized subregions in their Districts that may have differed in wetland conditions. Table 1 shows the frequency of ratings for each wetland type. "NI" and "NA" responses have not been included in Table 1. As might be expected, scores and recommendations varied widely.

One of the more useful questions was "Do you recommend this indicator for use in your region?" For some indicators, the responses were universal. For example, there were 54 (100 percent) "yes" responses for "A. Visual observation of surface water (flooding or ponding)" and for "B. Observed water table within 12 inches of the soil surface." None of the indicators on the survey received 100 percent negative responses. Indicator "NN. Presence of elevated lichen lines on trees, shrubs, or other upright objects" received 30 negative responses out of 37 total responses for the indicator.

Indicators that received mostly scores of 1 or 2 could be viewed as more widely applicable and reliable than those receiving lower scores, if potential regional differences or distinctions among wetland types are ignored. For example, among the indicators not currently listed in the manual or on the 1992 field data form, the following may deserve further consideration: "D. Soil sample from the upper 12 inches changes color upon exposure to air (due to oxidation of ferrous iron)," "E. Sulfidic odor in the upper 12 inches of the soil profile," "O. Presence of crayfish burrows,"

Table 1 Nationwide Average Scores From Survey of Potential Wetland Hydrology Regional Indicators	e Sc	ore	S Fr	L C	Sur	Vey	of	ote	ntia	3	etla	nd t	孠	90	gy	}egi	ona	l Inc	lica	tors								
mulcators based on Direct	Onse	a valin	5	Water W	alici	2 5	ann	900		D a	2 0	VISIL														2040	Do You	no,
		A I	All Wetlands	spu		•	Dep	Depressional Wetlands	onal Is		See	Seep / Slope Wetlands	ope l	Vetla	spu	~ ~	iverin W	Riverine / Floodplain Wetlands	odbo(sp	드	·	Fring	Fringe Wetlands	lands	-	Regional Wetland	this Indicator for Use in this	licator In this
	-	2	က	4	5	-	2	3	4	5	-	2	3	4	5	1	2	9	4	2	-	2	က	4	2	responses)	Yes	S _N
A. Visual observation of surface water (flooding or ponding)	16	4	4	2	0	ဖ	\$	ဖ	0	0	rc	60	co.	е	3	ю	4	6	က	0	2	14	7	0	0	+	25	0
B. Visual observation of soil saturation (rate each of the following					-						ň	끘	E L	ES B	ELOV	USE THE LINES BELOW TO RECORD YOUR RATINGS	RECC	Æ0 Y	OUR	RATI	NGS							
81. Observed water table within 12 inches of the soil surface	50	20	- 7	0	0	7	Ξ	ro	0	0	60	F	6	•	0	₆	4	е	6	0	ಸ	17	77	0	0	7	23	0
B2. Observed water table within 6 inches in sands	16	Ŧ	6	2	0	4	6	2	2	0	-	9	-	7	0	7	=	9	4	0	<u>ه</u>	တ	ю	4	0	9	51	ú
B3. Evidence of capillary finge "saturation" within 12 inches (rate each potential indicator below):											ž	出		ES B	ELO.	USE THE LINES BELOW TO RECORD YOUR RATINGS	RECC	אַ סאַנ	OUR	RATI	89 8]			
B3a. Observed glistening of soil sample	7	26	13	2	0	12	က	0	0	0	-	10	6	0	0	-	10	2	0	0	-	10	ß	•	0	4	98	Ξ
B3b. Gently shaking the soil sample releases pore water	ŧ	16	60	2	0	-	2	б	8	0	-	4	4	Ν	0	-	n	ro.	e e	0	-	4	7	ro.	0	ю	ક્ષ	10
B3c. Squeezing the soil sample displaces pore water	11	16	5	S	-	-	60	n	0	0	***	60	7	0	0	-	တ	ဖ	-	0	-	^	ю	7	0	4	32	17
C. Positive reaction to a ferrous iron test in the upper 12 inches of the soil profile	8	2	e ·	-	0	-	2	-	0	0	-	8	-	0	0	-	2	-	0	0	-	7	-	0	0	* -	23	တ
D. Soil sample from the upper 12 inches charges color upon exposure to air (due to oxidation of ferrous iron)	20	œ	4	0	0	7	4-	-	0	0	ဇ	7	-	0	0	n	7	-	0	0	ဧ	е е	-	0	0	8	133	10
E. Suifidic odor (hydrogen sulfide) in the upper 12 inches of the soil profile	33	9	7	9	2	3	4	0	က	-	4	2	7	က	7	ო	4	-	e e	ო	4	7	7	60	6	ro	47	က

Table 1 (Continued) 	a) Observ	/ation	M Jo	ater	and/o	r Red	duced Solis During a Site Visit	Solls	Durt	id a S	ite V	묾																
		All W	All Wetlands	န			Depr	Depressional Wetlands	naî s		Seep	ols /	be W	Seep / Slope Wetlands	<u>w</u>	Rive	Riverine / Floodplain Wetlands	ne / Floor Wetlands	dplair	_	_ E	Fringe Wetlands	Wetta	Ng.	S & & C	Other Regional Wetland Type (# of	Do You Recommend this Indicator for Use in this Region?	ou nend cator n this
	-	7	3	4	S	-	7	3	4	2	-	7	6	4	S.	_	7	3	4	2	V	2	3		5 resp	responses)	Yes	8
F. List other regional indicators based on direct observation of water and/or reduced soils	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	· ×	×	×
Indicators Based on Indirect Evidence of Recent Inundation	t Evid	ence	of Re	cent	unu	ation	(Ponding		or Flo	Flooding)		1			 		1	1]	1			1					
G. Watermarks (i.e., stains or elevated silt/pollen lines)	4	Ξ	12	0	0	5	17	5	2	0	-	8	ဖ	rt.	4	ن	20	4	0	0	4	24	ဖ	r2	0	ဖ	25	7
H. Drift lines, wrack lines, or rafted debris	12	14	3	0	0	1	13	7	9	-	-	rð.	2	4	D.	9	16	=	2	0	က	19	9	7	0	7	51	ဇ
Sediment deposits (i.e., thin layers of mineral or organic sediment coating objects on or near the ground)	3	14	6	-	0	2	19	8	4	+	+	80	7	. 8	2	4	61	8	မွ	0	9	20	9	4	0	88	49	က
J. Crusted algae (possibly mixed with other detritus) on or near the ground surface	7	13	ო	ဖ	0	ស	6	7	ო	7	0	7	en en	-	9	б	စ	5	ဖ	2	60	o	မ	4	ေ	2	42	7
K. Drainage patterns in wetlands (rate each of the following variations)							,				<u>s</u>	Ħ	: LINE	ES BE	LOW	10 R	USE THE LINES BELOW TO RECORD YOUR RATINGS	to Ya	J R R	ATIN	S							
K1. Presence of braided channels or a network of minor channels	0	÷	6	2	0	0	4	8	0	9	+	13	2	+	25	2	16	15	2	0	-	12	80	4	4	င	46	7
K2. Absence of leaf litter and debris due to removal by flowing water	0	8.	4	11	3	-	0	7	9	2	0	6	4	. 4	2	င	12	8	10	0	-	12	4	9	4	4	98	17
K3. Scouring of soil from around plant roots	0	7	8	6	2	0	3	2	3	2	0	9	2	9	2	2	12	12	8	0	-	13	4	9	7	4	90	18
K4. Living plants bent over or lying in the direction of water flow	0	ro.	15	v	8	0	. 0	е	^	'n	0	ro.	ω.	m	ro.	-	5	55	မ	0	0	우	7	. o	2	n	37	95
K5. Flood scars (abrasion) on woody stems	0	9	6	9	4	-	2	ε.	0	4	0	4	2	-	5	7	2	œ	4	4	2	8	1.	2	4	0	26	17
L. Water-stained leaves	1	۷١	9	8	0	7	-	4	3	2	2	8	9	က	-	4	14	9	4	·	4	10	9	9 .	2	9	47	
M. Presence of surface polygons or mud cracks	-	4	13	က	0	7	12	=	-	2	0	4	5	4	9	-	6	13	8	2	2	12	8	4	0	6	43	6
N. Presence of live or dead remains of aquatic	6	6	2	2	2	9	ıç.	-	-	0	3	-	1	0	2	2	4	3	2	2	9	3	2	-	0	3.	33	7

		Do You Recommend this Indicator for Use in this Region?	No		5	4	6	×			-	7	4	£	9	2
		Recol this in for Us	Yes		5	ε̈́	69	×			. 4	84	£4	26	98	32
		Other Regional Wetland Type (# of	responses)		4	-	2	×		-	4	-	**	က	4	0
1			S		0	0	0	×			0	0	0	7	2	0
	. '	ands	4		က	. 0	4	×			4	es .	8	7	4	0
		Wet	က		9	0	6	×		-	ო	4	6	ဖ	25	
		Fringe Wetlands	7		80	e e	15	×			9	9	6	80	5	8
			-		4	0	2	×		85	4-	0	ည	0	0	0
		<u> </u>	S		2	ო	-	×		RATIN	0	0	0	7	7	0
		Riverine / Floodpiain Wetlands	4		6	0	0	×		USE THE LINES BELOW TO RECORD YOUR RATINGS	4	က	0	-	7	0
		Ine / Flood Wetlands	3		2	0	40	×		RD YC	2	4	ಒ	6	8	-
İ		verine W	7		6	2	6	×		ECO	8	9	က	, 6	4	9
		<u> </u>	-		2	0	6	×		TO T	0	•	ဖ	. 0	-	0
1		ş	2		0	0	-	×		LOW	0	0	0	4	2	0
ł		Seep / Slope Wetlands	4		2	-	4	×		S BE	ĸ	4	0	-	4	0
1		M ed	က		7	0	е	×		L	4	7	-	ro	0	7
	Isit) Sic	7		4	0	8	×		풀	κ	9	မှ	0	4	5
	Site V	See	-		-	0	-	×		Sn .	. •	0	5	0	0	0
	ng a	:	S		2	8	0	×			0	0	0	2	2	0
ı	Duri	nal Is	4		9	0	2	×			4	rc	0	-	2	0
	Soils	Depressional Wetlands	က		n	-	4	×			~ ~	-	က	o	^	၈
İ	educed Soils During a Site Visit	Depr	7		4	-	4	×	당		თ	ဖ	ဖ	c c	0	5
			-		5	-	2	×	atri		0	0	9	~	ro	-
	and/c		2		ro	ω	0	×	Soll &		0	0	0	4	7	2
	Vater	ids	4		-	0	4	×	cent		ო	ო	က	œ	~	-
	n of V	All Wetlands	က		-	2	6 0	×	of Re		&	o	2	12	æ	ဖ
	vation	All &	7		4	0	9	×	ence		23	25	÷	œ	æ	9
₽	Obser		-		4	က	60	×	t Evid		12	花	21	-	0	ဖ
Table 1 (Continued)	Indicators Based on Direct Observation of Water and/or R			invertebrates (e.g., gastropods, clams)	O. Presence of crayfish burrows	P. Presence of "aufwuchs" or periphyton (the community of freshwater sponges, other invertebates, and nonvascular plants that cling to inundated surfaces)	Aerial photograph shows the site to be flooded or ponded	R. List other regional indicators of recent flooding or ponding	Indicators Based on Indirect Evidence of Recent Soil Saturation	S. Oxidized rhizospheres in the upper 12 inches of the soil profile (rate each of the following variations)	S1. Presence of iron oxide plaques (orange mineral coatings) on the surfaces of living roots	S2. Presence of redox concentrations in the soil adjacent to living roots	T. Presence of muck or mucky mineral material on the immediate soil surface	U. Presence of deep soil cracks due to shrink/swell action (e.g., in Vertic soils)	V. Presence of salt deposits on the soil surface	W. Presence of redox features in the surface

Indicators Based on Direct Observation of Water and/or Re-	t Obse	ervati	on of	Wate	rand	Sor R	educe	duced Soils During a Site Visit	no si	ring	Site	Visit																
		Ā	Wetla	All Wetlands			مّ م	Depressional Wetlands	lonal ids		ဖိ	Seep / Slope Wetlands	lope	/ ettai	spu	œ e	Riverine / Floodplain Wetlands	ine / Flood Wetlands	lqbox sp	ri n		France	Ethne Wettande	=====================================		Other Regional Wetland	Do You Recommend this Indicator for Use in this	Do You Recommend this Indicator or Use in this
	-	7	3	4	2	-	2	3	4	2		7	6	4	5	-	2	က	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	responses)	Yes	2
nonzon despite churning by soil organ- isms (bioturbation)																					,							
X. Presence of deep impressions in the soil left by heavy objects (vehicles livestock)	-	2	78	е С	2	0	4	7	-	0	0	7	8	0	0	0	4	7	ļ -	0	0	4		-	0	2	25	18
Y. Water table is between 12-24 inches of the surface during the dry season or during a dry year	5	13	ω	· · ·	<u>е</u>	60	<u> </u>	4	-	0	4	-	ro	е е	0	4	7	8	6	0	4	-	4	-	2	2	35	5
Z. List other regional indicators of recent soil saturation	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	<u>×</u>	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Indicators Based on Inferences from Other Site Conditions	sacue	from	Othe	r Site	S	Hion	5	Data											-		-			-				
AA. Site has confirmed hydric soils and hydrophytic vegetation, with no evidence of significant hydrologic atteration	1 8	- 22	ო	8	0	0	12	0	7	0	0	7	ဟ	7	0	0		ro.	2	0	0	6	2	- 7	0	2	42	· ·
BB. Site is located in a landscape position where water naturally							 	1		-		-	+							-	1			-] .
accumulates or discharges (rate each of the following								•			>	몽	Ä Li	ES B	ELO	5 ₹	USE THE LINES BELOW TO RECORD YOUR RATINGS	XD Y	OUR	RATI	NGS							
BB1. Site is located within a small depression	7	2	ę.	2	-	9	16	4	4	-	°	2	-	r.	4	0	ဖ	9	2	-	-	49	9	5	0	2	8	19
BB2. Site is located within a drainage way or on a floodplain	2	60	15	σ	0	0	4	ω	7	0	-	4	-	2	2	၈	2	18	ļ -		9	5	3	7	0	က	೫	<u>\$</u>
BB3. Site is located immediately adjacent to a lake, estuary, or ocean	0	=	17	ro.	- 2	0	б	9	6	2	0	7	0	ιo	4	-	, 60	4	က	2	8	6	=	2	2	-	34	16
BB4. Site is located at the toe of a slope	٥	ဖ	14	=	0	0	-	_	4	7	-	15	^	2	2	0	-	60	6	2	0	-	_	9	2	-	29	19
BB5. Site is located in an extensive flat	0	2	15	5 7	0	0	7	S.	8	0	0	0	2	ဖ	2	0	2	4	ဖ	7	0	0	7	6 0	0	4	20	2
CC. Soil profile in the potential wetland has a darker (lower value)	e .	10	15	, 7	+	7	11	80		0	7	4	ဖ	n	0	7	ဖ	ß	n .	0	7	80	5.	 -	0	0	23	2
											-	-	$\left \right $						1	-	4		_	-				

1	1				T			<u> </u>	T		T	The state of the state of
ou mend icator in this	No				6	8	ro	ro	10	သ	13	. 6
Do Y Recomi this indi for Use	Yes				88	œ	45	45	40	£4	35	45
Other Regional Wetland Type (# of	responses)			·	2	0	N	+	-	-	· m	ო
-	_	 	1		8	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
pu	4		-		7	0	0	0	-	0	0	0
Wetla	3		1		6	4	ဖ	σ.	5	6	ω .	74
inge	2				20	0	ဖ	4	5	ဖ	rb.	ω
	-		ဗ္ဗ	<u> </u>	-	0	-	0	2	7	6	2
ء ا	2		Ä		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
dplai	4		2 2		0	0	0	0	7	2	0	0
/ Floo	က		0 X		2	4	ro.	7	7	0	ဖ	-
erine	2		ECO.		0	0	7	ro.	ဖ	ဖ	4	6
ş	-		1 2		6 0	0	-	0	7	6	en en	70
ş	5		Low		ro.	7	8	N	2	7	. 0	0
/etlan	4		ESB		0	0	8	4	-	0	က	2
ope W	က		Z Z		7	4	e	- ·	2	-	ro	·m
i i	~		<u> </u>		2	0	4	4	Ω.	ဖ	ო	, ro
See	-		ຶ້		0	0	0	. 0	7	2	7	7
	5				2	2	7	. 2	0	0	0	0
onal ds	4				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ressi	က				9	4	0	-	က	2	ဟ	-
D S	7				8	0	6	2	10	9	rc	σ.
	-				9	0	ဟ	0	2	2	7	7
	-				e -	3	0	1	0	0	0	0
ands	4				<u>ල</u>	2	3			0		0
Wetla	က					9	6			σ.		4
Æ	2				22	0	56	16	20			26
*	-				7	-	6	6	ဧ	8	10	18
		surface horizon than in the adjacent upland	DD. Soil profile includes a shallow, relatively impermeable layer (an aquitard) capable of	per ching water from rainfall or run-off (rate each of the following variations)	DD1. Presence of a shallow clay layer, hardpan, etc.	DD2. Presence of shallow permafrost or seasonally frozen soil	EE. For an identified soil series, local soil survey data indicates long duration flooding or ponding during the growing season	FF. For an identified soil series, local soil survey data indicates a seasonal high water table within 12 inches of the surface during the growing season the growing season	GG. Vegetation passes the FAC-neutral test	HH. Presence of OBL herbaceous annual or biennial plants	II. More than 50% of dominant annual and/or blennial herbaceous plants are rated FAC, FACW, and/or OBL	JJ. More than 50% of dominant annual and/or biennial herbaceous plants are
	Other R Riverine / Floodplain Retlands Seep / Slope Wetlands Wetland for Wetlands Two (# of	Other Depressional Beep / Slope Wetlands	All Wetlands Depressional Riverine / Floodplain Regional Wetlands Seep / Slope Wetlands Wetlands Type (# of 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5	All Wetlands	All Wetlands Wetlands Seep / Slope Wetlands In the adjacent upland soll profile in the adjacent upland aquitard) capable of perching water from aquitard) capable of perching water from each of frate each of the following variations) All Wetlands Seep / Slope Wetlands Wetlands Wetlands Wetlands Wetlands Wetlands Type (# of 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1	All Wetlands	Surface horizon than the adjacent upland Solution than that adjacent upland Solution than the adjacent upland Solution than the adjacent upland Solution than the adjacent upland Solution than that adjacent upland Solution than that adjacent upland Solution than that adjacent upland Solution that adjacent upland Solution than that adjacent upland Solution that that the solution that adjacent upland Solution that that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solution that the solu	State horizon than that can be pressional surface horizon than that can be contained by each and so a seasonal frozent contained by each and so a seasonal frozent contained by each and so a seasonally frozent contained by each and so a seasonally frozent contained by each and so a seasonally frozent contained by each and the following permitted so a seasonally frozent contained by each of the following seasonally frozent contained by each of the following permitted contained by each of the following seasonally frozent contained by each of the following permitted contained by each of the following permitted contained by each of the following seasonally frozent contained by each of the following permitted contained by each of the following permitted contained by each of the following permitted contained by each of the following permitted contained by each of the following permitted contained by each of the following permitted contained by each of the following permitted contained by each of the following permitted contained by each of the following permitted contained by each of the following permitted contained by each of the following permitted contained by each of the following permitted contained by each of the following permitted contained by each of the following permitted contained by each of the following contained contained by each of the following contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained contained containe	All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods All Methods	All Methands	All Wetlands	All Wetlands

Table 1 (Continued)	<u> </u>	i di	N J	l con	10/10	1	1000																				
indicators Based on Direct	Opser	Vation	5	ater a	10/0	Ked	ced s	O SIIO		a Sil	e VISI				-					1				Ī			
							young	Jenologia	-						.:	o included a second	<u>.</u>		. 4						Other Regional	Recommend this Indicator	ou mend icator
		All Wetlands	etlan	ds		-	Wetl	Wetlands	=	S	Seep / Slope Wetlands	Slope	Wett	ands		A N	Wetlands	sp.			Fring	Fringe Wetlands	lland	S	Type (# of	Region?	in tills
	-	2	3	4	2	-	2	3	4	5 1	1 2	3	4	S	-	2	3	4	S	-	2	ေ	4	2	responses)	Yes	Š
rated FACW and/or OBL											ļ								ļ								
KK. Presence of live stems or dead remains of true aguatic plants	22	Ξ	80	-	0	80	က	0	-	0	9	2	7	0	^	r.	-	°	0	<u></u>	n	-	-	0	က	9	ဖ
LL. Presence of Sphagnum or other wetland-specialist bryophytes	o	13	=	7	-	2	r,	0	4		2 2	2	4	0	7	7	0	4	0	7	က	0	S	0	2	37	6
MM. Presence of moss lines on trees, shrubs or other upright objects	7	4	16	7	7	-	2	ဖ	-		-	1 7	0	0	-	8	σ	2	0	τ.	-		0	-	-	20	25
NN. Presence of elevated lichen lines on trees, shrubs, or other upright objects	-	~	0	ω	7	2		0	9	0		-	ro.	0	7	0	-	40	0	-	0	-	ro.	-	-	7	`08
OO. Presence of unvegetated (bare) areas within otherwise vegetated sites	1	7	44	ဖ	4	2	13	က	.,	7	7	0	8	27	0	60	60	-	. 4	-	4	ស	က	2	ro .	25	R
PP. Presence of plant morphological adaptations for life in wet situations (rate each of the following variations)											38 0	THEL	INES	BELC)W TK	USE THE LINES BELOW TO RECORD YOUR RATINGS	ORD	You	RAT	S N						:	
PP1. Swollen or buttressed tree bases	+	12	6	2	2	2	9	4	0	2	0	8	5 2	2	-	7	9		0 2	-	2	2	2	7	-	32	=
PP2. Adventitious roots PP3. Prop roots (e.g.	<u>ဖ</u>	= (2	2	· 2	7	e (ဖ	-	+	+		-		+		-	+	+	+	2	7			2	56	13
mangroves) PP4. Pneumatophores	t.	n «	0 4	-	- c) c) r	ם ע	- C			5 6	2 6			^) 4			- c	- "			> c	5	n ç	3 \$
and/or knees PP5. Shallow or exposed roots	0	16	. 5	က	2	4	7	ဖ			-	+-						+-	+	_	, -	σ.	-		. 0	2 8	9 4
PP6. Enlarged lenticels on tree/shrub bases or exposed roots	2	12	2	7	0	4	0	+	-	0		-	2	0	0	<u>-</u>	-		0	°	0	7	-	0	0	24	15
PP7. Stooling or multiple stems	-	5	15	2	Z.	0	-	2	4	2	0	-	22	0	0	2	45		0	0	-	-	ဖ	0	_	19	24
PP8. Floating leaves or stems	8	12	7	-	7	4	4	-	0	7	4	-	0	2	=	-	0		0		-	0	0	7	-	45	-
PP9. Presence of aerenchyma	13	2	4	-	0	ဖ	0	ဖ	0		-		4	0 2	7			9	0		0	6	0	0	0	8	6

Table 1 (Concluded)	g							İ																			
Indicators Based on Direct Observation of Water and/or Reduced Solls During a Site Visit	Obser	rvatior	of W	ater a	nd/or	Redu	ced S	ioils	Jurin	gaS	Ite Vis	sit											1.				
																				<u> </u>						Do You	You
										_															Other	Recom	Recommend
																									Regional	this Ind	this indicator
						ايسن	Sepre	Depressional	8							Riverine / Floodplain	'ne / FI	loodp	ain						Wetland	for Use	in this
		¥	All Wetlands	qs	_		Wet	Wetlands			Seep	Slop	e We	Seep / Slope Wetlands			Wetlands	spu			Frin	Fringe Wetlands	tlanc	ş	Type (# of	Regi	Region?
	-	7	6	4	2	-	2	3 4	4	2	1	7	m	4	7	2	3	4	2	-	2	9	4	5	responses)	Yes	S
PP10. Plant roots are			Γ		\vdash	\vdash			H	\vdash	H	T	\vdash	H	-	-	-	L	-	1	1					3	
"pruned" within 12																											
inches of the surface	7	F	2	7	0	o	4	-	0	0	0	0	4	-	0	4	9	0	0	0	ıc.	-	_		c	ķ	=
due to a shallow water							-							· ·	, 		•					•	<u> </u>	· 	,	3	=
table																											
X - indicates no response																		-	-	-		-	-				

ERDC TN-WRAP-05-1 January 2005

"T. Presence of muck or mucky mineral material on the immediate soil surface," "AA. Site has confirmed hydric soils and hydrophytic vegetation with no evidence of significant hydrologic alteration," and HH and JJ which deal with the presence of obligate and/or facultative wet annual or biennial plants.

Clearly, each indicator needs to be evaluated closely as it applies to each region of the country. Indicators with high frequencies of scores 1 or 2 may have a high potential for application throughout the country. Those with high frequency of scores 3, 4, or 5 are not likely to be useful indicators nationwide, although some of them may be applicable within specific regions or wetland types.

The next step is to focus on individual regions as part of the larger effort to regionalize all aspects of the Corps Manual. This effort has already begun in two regions: Alaska and the Arid West. Interagency working groups in those regions are now developing draft Regional Supplements to the Corps Manual that will contain updated and refined lists of indicators of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology that are specific to the regions. The national survey of wetland hydrology indicators has proved to be a valuable starting point for developing regional indicator lists. It has stimulated thought and discussion within the Corps Districts and among other users of the Corps Manual. Although the process of developing regional indicators has started with only state or federal agency participation, draft documents will be subject to independent peer review, probably by university faculty or committees formed by professional societies. Draft documents will also be made available to the private consultant community for their technical insights and suggestions, and distributed for broader public review before being adopted for regulatory use.

POINTS OF CONTACT: For additional information, contact Mr. Chris V. Noble, Environmental Laboratory, U. S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, MS (601-634-3482, *Chris. V.Noble@erdc.usace.army.mil*) or the Manager of the Wetlands Regulatory Assistance Program, Mr. Bob Lazor (601-634-2935, *Bob.L.Lazor@erdc.usace.army.mil*). This technical note should be cited as follows:

Noble, C. V., Martel, D. J., and Wakeley, J. S. (2005). "A national survey of potential wetland hydrology regional indicators," WRAP Technical Notes Collection (ERDC TN-WRAP-05-1), U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, MS.

REFERENCES

Environmental Laboratory. (1987). "Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual," Technical Report Y-87-1, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

National Research Council. (1995). "Wetlands: Characteristics and Boundaries," National Academy Press, Washington, DC.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. (1992). "Clarification and Interpretation of the 1987 Manual," Memorandum signed by MG Arthur Williams, Washington, DC.

NOTE: The contents of this technical note are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such products.